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Imagine that you have read Petur Sorensen's magazine article and wish to write a letter to him in response to the ideas raised.

In your letter you should:

- explain and evaluate the views expressed in the article
- give your own views about virtual schooling, based on this article.

Base your article on what you have read in the article, but be careful to use your own words. Address both bullet points.

Begin your letter, 'Dear Petur Sorensen, I found your recent article about virtual schooling very interesting...'

Write about 250 to 350 words.

Up to 10 marks are available for the content of your answer, and up to 15 marks for the quality of your writing.
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Responses may use the following ideas:

A1 Explain and evaluate the views expressed.

In favour of virtual schooling

- it obviously got good results for Katherine
- ordinary schools can’t cope with difficult cases – sick but bright
- it’s not a new idea – correspondence courses were used in the past
- broadens rather than limits students’ mix of friends
- increased demand shows some faith in / need for virtual schools
- in some places it’s publicly funded
- no need for bricks and mortar schools
- big classes in mainstream school can lead to slow progress for able students
- virtual school students aren’t bullied / pressured into under-achievement
- rapid progress possible
- Katherine’s tutors were ‘special teachers’ – gave her time / individual attention

A2 Against virtual schooling

- it’s a risky choice – Katherine’s parents are ‘concerned’
- her parents didn’t give her ‘real’ school much of a chance
- socialising with peers is restricted to online chatroom interaction
- it can cost a lot
- virtual education doesn’t reach the poor, who need it the most
- virtual school lets students hide from bullies / peer pressure rather than deal with them
- there’s a hint of snobbery / over-protectiveness in Carl’s attitudes
- it wouldn’t work for poorly motivated students – distractions by online chatter
- it can create isolation
- standards vary – too many students per tutor in some virtual schools
- if Katherine goes to virtual university, she might miss out on many benefits
- potential for cyber bullying

The discriminator is the evaluation of the arguments, which requires candidates to draw inferences and make judgments. Ideas and opinions must be derived from the passage, developing its claims and assessing their implications with clear and persuasive arguments.
Marking criteria for Section 1, Question 1.

**Table A, Writing**
Use the following table to give a mark out of 15 for Writing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Mark Range</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Band 1</td>
<td>13–15</td>
<td>Consistent sense of audience; authoritative and appropriate style. Fluent, varied sentences; wide range of vocabulary. Strong sense of structure, paragraphing and sequence. Spelling, punctuation and grammar almost always accurate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 2</td>
<td>10–12</td>
<td>Sense of audience mostly secure; there is evidence of style and fluency; sentences and vocabulary are effective. Secure overall structure; mostly well-sequenced. Spelling, punctuation and grammar generally accurate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 3</td>
<td>7–9</td>
<td>Occasional sense of audience; mostly written in correctly structured sentences; vocabulary may be plain but adequate for the task; mostly quite well structured. Minor, but more frequent, errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 4</td>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>Inconsistent style; simple or faultily constructed sentences; vocabulary simple; basic structure. Frequent errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 5</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>Inappropriate expression; the response is not always well sequenced. Errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar impair communication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 6</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>Expression unclear; flawed sentence construction and order. Persistent errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar impede communication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>The response cannot be understood.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table B, Reading**
Use the following table to give a mark out of 10 for Reading.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Mark Range</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Band 1</td>
<td>9–10</td>
<td>Gives a thorough, perceptive, convincing response. Reads effectively between the lines. Shows understanding by developing much of the reading material and assimilating it into a response to the task.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 2</td>
<td>7–8</td>
<td>Some evidence of evaluation, engaging with a few of the main points with success. Uses reading material to support the argument. Occasionally effective development of ideas from the passages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 3</td>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>Reproduces a number of points to make a satisfactory response. The response covers the material adequately, but may miss opportunities to develop it relevantly or at length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 4</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>Selects points from the passages rather literally and / or uses the material thinly. Points should be connected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 5</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>Parts of the response are relevant, though the material may be repeated or used inappropriately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>There is very little or no relevance to the question or to the passages, or the response copies unselectively or directly from the passages.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 2: Composition

Questions 2, 3, 4 or 5

This question tests writing assessment objectives W1 to W5 (15 marks)

W1 articulate experience and express what is thought, felt and imagined
W2 sequence facts, ideas and opinions
W3 use a range of appropriate vocabulary
W4 use register appropriate to audience and context
W5 make accurate use of spelling, punctuation and grammar

Write about 350 to 450 words on one of the following questions.

Up to 13 marks are available for the content and structure of your answer, and up to 12 marks for the style and accuracy of your writing.

Descriptive Writing

2 Give an account of your arrival at a very strange hotel. Describe the building, the surroundings, the staff and the other guests.

OR

3 Describe a firework display, including sounds and smells as well as what you see, and how the spectators react to it.

OR

Narrative Writing

4 You have been warned to leave your home because a hurricane is approaching. You make a decision either to stay or to go. What happens next?

OR

5 Write a story which involves a scene in a court room.
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Marking criteria for Section 2, Questions 2(a), 2(b), 3(a) and 3(b)

Use table A to give a mark out of 13 for content and structure, and table B to give a mark out of 12 for style and accuracy.

Table A, Composition: Content and structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>General criteria</th>
<th>Specific criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Band 1</td>
<td>11–13</td>
<td>W1: Content is complex, sophisticated and realistic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W2: Overall structure is secure and the constituent parts well balanced and carefully managed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Descriptive Writing</td>
<td>Many well-defined and developed ideas and images create a convincing, original, overall picture with varieties of focus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Narrative Writing</td>
<td>The plot is convincing with elements of fiction such as description, characterisation and climax, and with cogent detail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 2</td>
<td>9–10</td>
<td>W1: Content develops some interesting and realistic features in parts of the writing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W2: Writing is orderly, and beginnings and endings are satisfactorily managed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Descriptive Writing</td>
<td>Frequent, well-chosen images and details give an impression of reality, although the overall picture is not consistent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Narrative Writing</td>
<td>The plot incorporates some interesting features, but not consistently so: the reader may be aware of the creation of suspense and a sense of climax.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 3</td>
<td>7–8</td>
<td>W1: Content is straightforward with ideas, features and images that satisfactorily address the task; some opportunities for development are taken.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W2: Overall structure is competent and some sentences are well sequenced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Descriptive Writing</td>
<td>A selection of relevant ideas, images and details addresses the task, even where there is a tendency to write a narrative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Narrative Writing</td>
<td>The plot is straightforward and cohesive with some identification of features such as character and setting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 4</td>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>W1: Content consists of relevant ideas that are briefly developed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W2: Overall structure is easily followed, though some constituent parts are too long or too short to be effective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Descriptive Writing</td>
<td>The task is addressed with a series of ordinary details, which may be more typical of a narrative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Narrative Writing</td>
<td>Recording of relevant but sometimes unrealistic events outweighs other desirable elements of narrative fiction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Mark Range</td>
<td>General criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Band 5** | 3–4 | W1: Content is simple, and the presentation of ideas and events may only be partially credible.  
W2: Overall structure is recognizable though paragraphing is inconsistent and sequences of sentences insecure. | Where a narrative is written, the recording of events may preclude the use of sufficient descriptive detail. | The plot is a simple narrative that may consist of events that are only partially credible or which are presented with partial clarity. |
| **Band 6** | 1–2 | W1: Content is inconsistent in relevance, interest and clarity.  
W2: Structure is frequently unclear, revealing a limited grasp of purpose. | Some relevant facts are identified, but the overall picture is unclear and lacks development. | The plot lacks coherence and narrates events indiscriminately. |
| **Band 7** | 0 | W1: Content is rarely relevant and there is little material.  
W2: The structure is disorderly. | Individual ideas are not properly communicated and the effect is one of incoherence. | The plot is hard to follow and is only partially relevant. |
Table B, Composition: Style and accuracy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Band 1 | 11–12 | Writing is consistent, stylistically fluent, linguistically strong and almost always accurate; has sense of audience.  
W3: Consistently wide range of appropriate vocabulary.  
W4: Subtle and effective sense of audience; appropriate use of varied sentence structures.  
W5: Spelling, punctuation and grammar almost always accurate. |
| Band 2 | 9–10 | Writing is mostly fluent, sometimes linguistically effective and generally accurate; may have some sense of audience.  
W3: Obvious attempt to use range of vocabulary to interest the reader.  
W4: Partial or inferred sense of audience, with appropriate sentence structures.  
W5: Spelling, punctuation and grammar mainly accurate. |
| Band 3 | 7–8 | Writing is clear, competent, if plain in vocabulary and grammatical structures; errors minor, but frequent.  
W3: Occasional precision and / or interest in choice of words.  
W4: Accurate if repetitive sentence structures  
W5: Minor but frequent errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar. |
| Band 4 | 5–6 | Writing is clear and accurate in places, and uses limited vocabulary and grammatical structures; errors occasionally serious.  
W3: Plain but mostly correct choice of words.  
W4: Correct use of simple sentence structures; some errors of sentence separation.  
W5: Frequent errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar. |
| Band 5 | 3–4 | Writing is simple in vocabulary and grammar; overall meaning can be followed, but errors are distracting and sometimes impair communication.  
W3: Words may sometimes communicate meaning satisfactorily.  
W4: Frequent weakness in sentence structures.  
W5: Errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar impair communication. |
| Band 6 | 1–2 | Writing is weak in vocabulary and grammar; persistent errors impede communication.  
W3: Insufficient language to carry intended meaning.  
W4: Faulty and / or rambling sentence structures.  
W5: Persistent errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar impede communication. |
| Band 7 | 0 | Writing is impossible to follow. Language proficiency is lacking; incorrect sentences; multiple errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar. |