This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners’ meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiners Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2014 series for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.
Note: All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates’ scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated. Nonetheless, the content must be clearly related to and derived from the passage.

Section 1: Directed Writing

Question 1

This question tests Writing Objectives W1–W5 (15 marks):
- articulate experience and express what is thought, felt and imagined
- order and present facts, ideas and opinions
- understand and use a range of appropriate vocabulary
- use language and register appropriate to audience and context
- make accurate and effective use of paragraphs, grammatical structures, sentences, punctuation and spelling.

AND aspects of Reading Objectives R1–R3 (10 marks):
- understand and collate explicit meanings
- understand, explain and collate implicit meanings and attitudes
- select, analyse and evaluate what is relevant to specific purposes.

Read carefully the magazine article in the Reading Booklet Insert and then answer Section 1, Question 1.

Write a letter to the editor of the newspaper in which you
- identify and evaluate arguments presented in the article
- Explain why you do or do not you agree with the writer’s views.

Base your letter on what you have read in the passage, but be careful to use your own words.

Begin your letter,

‘Dear Editor,

I feel strongly about the article you published recently…’

Write between 1½ and 2 sides, allowing for the size of your handwriting.

Up to 10 marks are available for the content of your answer and up to 15 marks for the quality of your writing.
General notes on likely content

Average responses are sometimes well ordered summaries of the article. Less strong responses may be brief evaluations. Weaker responses tend to summarise, lift parts of the reading material or write irrelevantly, drifting away from the passage.

Look for a clear response which is well structured and in the candidate's own words. There are many possible strands of argument in the passage, and the candidate is not expected to use all of them, but to select, develop, and link some of them.

Candidates might use the following:

A1 – writer's arguments from the passage (explicit)

- emails tend to be short and informative, not a lengthy sharing of thoughts and feelings
- emails and on-line cards too impersonal
- no physical tactile pleasure without an envelope to open
- postal mail is now all junk or bills
- we need to see people's handwriting to be able to form an opinion of them
- handwriting is deteriorating through lack of practice
- exams still need handwriting skills
- we are becoming de-skilled as writers
- saved things on a computer are less likely to be looked at again
- one becomes sentimentally attached to real photos and letters
- posting a letter takes time and trouble, and shows love for the recipient
- historical research relies on letter collections
- we are less patient nowadays because communication has become instant
- we are losing the aesthetic and literary aspects of communication
- we have lost a valuable practice begun in antiquity

A2 – possible alternative arguments (implicit)

- postal services often unreliable
- people like to see that they have mail in their in-box
- the elderly are often users of computers and email (may find it easier than going to a postbox)
- one shouldn't judge people by their handwriting
- exams will soon be done on-line
- humans evolve and so do their skills
- photo albums of decaying pictures are rarely looked at
- letters and photographs as hard copies take up huge amounts of space
- electronic letters and photos don't get lost or burned in house fires
- one can instantly access letters and photos on computer, wherever one is
- people away from home prefer to hear voice on phone, or use Skype, with added benefit of camera, rather than rely on written communication
- emails can be printed if necessary, and used for historical purposes
- a lot of other processes begun in antiquity are now dead, because no longer needed
The question is marked out of 10 for Reading and 15 for Writing.

Use the following table to give a mark out of 10 for Reading.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Mark Range</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Band 1</td>
<td>9–10</td>
<td>Gives a thorough, perceptive, <strong>convincing</strong> evaluation. Reads effectively between the lines. Shows understanding by developing much of the reading material and <strong>assimilating</strong> it into a response to the task.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 2</td>
<td>7–8</td>
<td>Some evidence of <strong>evaluation</strong>, engaging with a few of the main points with success. Uses reading material to <strong>support</strong> the argument. Occasionally effective development of ideas in the material.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 3</td>
<td>5–6</td>
<td><strong>Reproduces</strong> a number of points to make a satisfactory response. The response covers the material adequately, but may miss opportunities to develop it relevantly or at length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 4</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>Selects points from the passage rather literally and/or uses the material <strong>thinly</strong>. Does not combine points into a connected response.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 5</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>Parts of the response are relevant, though the material may be repeated or used inappropriately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Response does not relate to question and/or too much unselective copying directly from the material to gain a mark in Band 5.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Use the following table to give a mark out of 15 for Writing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Mark Range</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Band 1</td>
<td>13–15</td>
<td>Consistent sense of audience; authoritative and appropriate style. Fluent, varied sentences; wide range of vocabulary. Strong sense of structure, paragraphing and sequence. Virtually no error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 2</td>
<td>10–12</td>
<td>Sense of audience mostly secure; there is evidence of style and fluency; sentences and vocabulary are effective. Secure overall structure; mostly well-sequenced. Writing is mainly accurate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 3</td>
<td>8–9</td>
<td>Occasional sense of audience; mostly written in correctly structured sentences; vocabulary may be plain but adequate for the task; mostly quite well structured. Errors minor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 4</td>
<td>5–7</td>
<td>Inconsistent style; simple or faultily constructed sentences; vocabulary simple; basic structure. Frequent errors, including sentence separation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 5</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>Inappropriate expression; the response is not always well sequenced. Serious errors in sentence structure/vocabulary/grammar/punctuation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 6</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>Expression unclear; flawed sentence construction and order. Persistent serious errors interfere with the conveying of meaning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Problems of expression and accuracy are too serious to gain a mark in Band 6.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 2: Composition

Questions 2 (a), 2 (b), 3 (a), 3 (b), 4 (a) and 4 (b).

Give two marks:
- the first mark is out of 13 for Content and Structure: see Table A
- the second mark is out of 12 for Style and Accuracy: see Table B

Write about 2 sides, allowing for the size of your handwriting, on one of the following questions.

Up to 13 marks are available for the content and structure of your answer, and up to 12 marks for the style and accuracy of your writing.

Argumentative/Discursive Writing

2  (a) ‘Today’s media is too powerful.’ Do you agree?

OR

(b) ‘Everything is done by robots nowadays, from building cars to looking after the elderly.’ Give your views on the use of robots in some areas of life.
Descriptive Writing

3  (a) Describe an occasion when you received disappointing news. Include the thoughts and feelings of those involved.

OR

(b) You are being interviewed. Describe the people conducting the interview, and your thoughts and feelings as it progresses.

Narrative Writing

4  (a) ‘Don’t panic! I’m sure there's a solution.’ Write a story beginning with these words.

OR

(b) Write a story with the title ‘The End of the Road’.
## COMPOSITION TASKS: TABLE A – CONTENT AND STRUCTURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band 1 11–13</th>
<th>ARGUMENTATIVE/DISCURSIVE TASK</th>
<th>DESCRIPTIVE TASK</th>
<th>NARRATIVE TASK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Consistently well developed, logical stages in an overall, at times complex, argument.</td>
<td>• There are many well-defined, well-developed ideas and images, describing complex atmospheres with a range of details.</td>
<td>• The narrative is complex and sophisticated and may contain devices such as sub-texts, flashbacks and time lapses. Cogent details are provided where necessary or appropriate.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Each stage is linked to the preceding one, and sentences within paragraphs are soundly sequenced.</td>
<td>• Overall structure is provided through devices such as the movements of the persona, the creation of a short time span, or the creation of atmosphere or tension. There is no confusion with writing a story. Repetition is avoided and the sequence of sentences makes the picture clear to the reader.</td>
<td>• Different parts of the story are balanced and the climax carefully managed. Sentence sequences are sometimes arranged to produce effects such as the building up of tension or providing a sudden turn of events.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Band 2 9–10 | | | |
| • Each stage of the argument is defined and developed, although the explanation may not be consistent. | • There is a good range of images with interesting details which contribute to a sense of atmosphere. | • The writing develops some interesting features, but not consistently so. Expect the use of detail and some attention to character or setting. |
| • The stages follow in a generally cohesive progression. Paragraphs are mostly well sequenced, although some may finish less strongly than they begin. | • These are formed into an overall picture of some clarity, largely consistent and effective. There may be occasional repetition, and opportunities for development or the provision of detail may be missed. Sentences are often well sequenced. | • Writing is orderly and the beginning and ending are satisfactorily managed. The reader is well aware of the climax even if it is not fully effective. Sequencing of sentences provides clarity and engages the reader in events or atmosphere. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• There is a <strong>series of relevant points</strong> and a clear attempt is made to develop some of them. These points are straightforward and logical/coherent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Repetition is avoided, but the order of the stages in the overall argument can be changed without adverse effect. The sequence of the sentences within paragraphs is satisfactory, but the <strong>linking of ideas may be insecure</strong>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mainly relevant points are made and they are <strong>developed partially with some brief effectiveness</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The overall argument shows signs of structure but may be sounder at the beginning than at the end, or may drift away from the topic. There may be <strong>some repetition</strong>. The sequence of sentences may be occasionally insecure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• There is a <strong>selection of relevant ideas, images, and details</strong>, which satisfactorily address the task. An attempt is made to create atmosphere.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The description provides a <strong>series of points</strong> rather than a sense of their being combined to make an overall picture, but some ideas are developed successfully, albeit straightforwardly. Some sentences are well sequenced.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• A <strong>straightforward but cohesive story</strong> with identification of features such as character and setting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• While opportunities for <strong>appropriate development of ideas are sometimes missed</strong>, overall structure is competent, and some features of a developed narrative are evident. Sentences are usually sequenced to narrate events clearly.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Responds relevantly to the topic, but is only a <strong>series of chronological events</strong> with occasional references to character and setting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Overall structure is sound, but there are examples where <strong>particular parts are too long or short</strong>. The climax is not effectively described or prepared. Sentence sequences narrate events and occasionally contain irrelevances.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Band 5 | 3–4 | • A few relevant points are made and may be expanded into paragraphs, but development is very simple and not always logical.  
• There is weakness of sequencing overall and within paragraphs. Paragraphing is inconsistent. **Repetition and an inability to sustain relevant argument are obvious.** | • Content is relevant but **lacking in scope or variety**. Opportunities to provide development and detail are frequently missed.  
• The overall structure, though readily discernible, **lacks form and dimension**. Paragraphing is inconsistent. The reliance on identifying events, objects and/or people sometimes leads to a sequence of sentences without progression. | • **A very simple narrative**; it may consist of nonsensical or confusing events.  
• **Unequal or inappropriate importance is given to parts of the story.** Paragraphing is inconsistent. Dialogue may be used ineffectively. There is no real climax. Sentence sequences are used only to link simple series of events. |
| Band 6 | 1–2 | • **A few points are discernible** but any attempt to develop them is very limited.  
• Overall argument only progresses here and there and the sequence of sentences is poor. | • Some relevant facts are identified, but the **overall picture is unclear** and lacks development.  
• There are examples of sequenced sentences, but there is also repetition and **muddled ordering**. | • Stories are **incoherent and narrate events indiscriminately**. Endings are absent or lack effect.  
• **The shape of the narrative is unclear**; some of the content has no relevance to the plot. Sequences of sentences are sometimes poor, leading to a lack of clarity. |
| Band 7 | 0 | • **Rarely relevant, little material, and presented in a disorderly structure.** Not sufficient to be placed in Band 6. | • **Rarely relevant, little material, and presented in a disorderly structure.** Not sufficient to be placed in Band 6. | • **Rarely relevant, little material, and presented in a disorderly structure.** Not sufficient to be placed in Band 6. |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Look for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Band 1</td>
<td>11–12</td>
<td>Writing is consistent, stylistically fluent, linguistically strong and</td>
<td>• appropriately used ambitious words</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>accurate; has sense of audience.</td>
<td>• complex sentence structures where appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 2</td>
<td>9–10</td>
<td>Writing is mostly fluent, sometimes linguistically effective and largely</td>
<td>• signs of a developing style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>accurate; may have some sense of audience.</td>
<td>• some ability to express shades of meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 3</td>
<td>7–8</td>
<td>Writing is clear, competent (if plain) in vocabulary and grammar; errors</td>
<td>• mostly correct sentence separation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>perhaps frequent, but minor.</td>
<td>• occasional precision and/or interest in choice of words</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 4</td>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>Writing is clear and accurate in places, but uses limited vocabulary and</td>
<td>• simple sentences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>grammar; errors occasionally serious.</td>
<td>• errors of sentence separation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 5</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>Writing is simple in vocabulary and grammar; errors are distracting and</td>
<td>• definite weaknesses in sentence structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sometimes serious, but overall meaning can be followed.</td>
<td>• grammatical errors such as incorrect use of prepositions and tense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 6</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>Writing is weak in vocabulary and grammar; serious, persistent errors;</td>
<td>• faulty and/or rambling sentences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>meaning is blurred.</td>
<td>• language insufficient to carry intended meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Writing is difficult to follow because of inadequate language proficiency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and error.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>